

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/669,258		WILLIAMS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Leslie A. Royds		1614	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Leslie A. Royds (Exr).

(2) Peter Thurlow (for Applicants).

**Date of Interview:** 31 March 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

28

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** PM

  

/Leslie A. Royds/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was asked to submit a corrected claim listing presenting the text of withdrawn claim 28 to be compliant with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.121. Applicant's representative stated that he would submit such a corrected claim listing for the record to correct this deficiency.